### **Network Analysis:**

# The Hidden Structures behind the Webs We Weave 17-338 / 17-668

#### Homophily and Degree Correlation (Part 1) Tuesday, September 17, 2024

Patrick Park & Bogdan Vasilescu







### 2-min Quiz, on Canvas

#### Quick Recap – Last Tuesday's Lecture

Graph signature of social ties

Social tie dynamics

#### Birds of a Feather

### Example: Retweet network on Twitter

#### Example: Social network from a town's middle school and high school



## Homophily: Often, nodes that are connected to each other in a social network tend to have similar characteristics

The majority of links for each node go to nodes of the same color.

The majority of links connect nodes of the same color.

"People love those who are like themselves." - Aristotle

"Similarity begets friendship." -Plato

(homo: same, phil: love  $\rightarrow$  love for something that is the same, in Greek)



## Homophily: Often, nodes that are connected to each other in a social network tend to have similar characteristics

Salient dimensions:

- Race, ethnicity
- Gender, sex
- Age
- Religion
- Occupation/education



#### Homophily: Gender

Salient dimensions:

- Race, ethnicity
- Gender, sex
- Age
- Religion
- Occupation/education



#### Homophily: Education

Tie probability decreases as the difference in education increases between two people.

Tie probability is lower for non-kin.

The effect is stronger in more recent years.



Smith et al. 2014

#### Homophily: Age

Age homophily slightly increased over time.

Higher levels of homophily at 20s and 60s:

Why?

Age Distribution of Alters by Age of Respondent, Proportion Above Chance: 1985



Age Distribution of Alters by Age of Respondent, Proportion Above Chance: 2004



Smith et al. 2014

#### Homophily: Age

OkCupid data: Women are most interested in men their own age.



Dataclysm Who We Are\* Christian Rudder a woman's age vs. the age of the men who look best to her

#### Homophily: Age

OkCupid data: Men are most interested in women in their early 20s.

Dataclysm Who We Are\* Christian Rudder





Given a particular characteristic of interest (like race, or age), is there a simple test we can apply to a network to estimate whether it exhibits homophily according to this characteristic?

Imagine this is the friendship network of an elementary-school classroom, with colors representing different genders.



What would it mean for the network <u>not</u> to exhibit homophily by gender?



What would it mean for the network <u>not</u> to exhibit homophily by gender?

The proportion of male and female friends a person has should look like the background male/female distribution in the full population.



What would it mean for the network <u>not</u> to exhibit homophily by gender?

If we were to randomly assign each node a gender according to the gender balance in the real network, then the number of cross-gender edges should not change significantly relative to what we see in the real network.



Suppose a p fraction of all individuals are male, and a q fraction are female.

Consider a given edge in this network:

- both ends of the edge will be male with probability ... ?
- both ends will be female with probability ...?
- if one end is male and the other is female, or vice versa, then we have a cross-gender edge with probability ...?



Suppose a p fraction of all individuals are male, and a q fraction are female.

Consider a given edge in this network:

- both ends of the edge will be male with probability *p*<sup>2</sup>
- both ends will be female with probability q<sup>2</sup>
- if one end is male and the other is female, or vice versa, then we have a cross-gender edge with probability 2pq



Homophily test:

If the fraction of cross-gender edges is significantly less than 2pq, then there is evidence for homophily.

p = 2/3 and q = 1/3 in our example 2pq = 4/9 = 8/18 5 / 18 edges are cross-gender

With no homophily, one should expect to see 8 cross-gender edges rather than than 5, so this example shows some evidence of homophily.



### Back to interpreting homophily

#### **Competing mechanisms**

**Selection** ("homophily"): If people are similar in some way, they are more likely to select each other and become connected.

Social influence: People who are friends become more similar over time.

#### Homophily: Intrinsic vs contextual effects



#### Million dollar question: Why does homophily happen?

Recall the two competing mechanisms:

**Selection**: If people are similar in some way, they are more likely to select each other and become connected.

**Social influence**: People who are friends become more similar over time.

Does similarity induce links, or do links induce similarity?

#### Million dollar question: Why does homophily happen?

Recall the two competing mechanisms:

**Selection**: If people are similar in some way, they are more likely to select each other and become connected.

**Social influence**: People who are friends become more similar over time.

#### Does similarity induce links, or do links induce similarity?

We need <u>longitudinal</u> studies: Have the people in the network adapted their behaviors to become more like their friends, or have they sought out people who were already like them?

#### Important for reasoning about the effect of possible interventions

Consider an adolescent drug use network:

If drug use displays <u>social influence</u> — with students showing a greater likelihood to use drugs when their friends do — then target certain high-school students and influence them to stop using drugs; their social influence could cause their friends to stop using drugs as well.

If illicit drug arises almost entirely from <u>selection</u> effects, then as targeted students stop using drugs, they change their social circles and form new friendships with students who don't use drugs, but the drug-using behavior of other students is not strongly affected.

## Selection may operate at several different scales, and with different levels of intentionality

In a small group, when people choose friends who are most similar from among a clearly delineated pool of contacts, there is clearly active choice going on.

In other cases, and at more global levels, selection can be more implicit and a result of the social environment.

For example, when people live in neighborhoods, attend schools, or work for companies that are relatively homogeneous compared to the population at large.

# Case Study: Christakis and Fowler obesity study showing evidence of social influence

#### Framingham heart study network

Red borders: women

Blue borders: men.

Node size proportional to the person's body-mass index.

Yellow: body-mass index ≥30 ("obese")

Green: nonobese.

Tie colors indicate relationship: purple friendship or marital tie; orange - familial tie.



### Framingham heart study network

The researchers tested for homophily.

How?



#### Framingham heart study network

People tend to be more similar in obesity status to their network neighbors than in a version of the same network where obesity status is assigned randomly.

Now, why?



#### Hypotheses

This clustering is present:

(1) because of <u>selection effects</u>, in which people are choosing to form friendships with others of similar obesity status?

(2) because of the <u>confounding effects</u> of homophily according to other characteristics, in which the network structure indicates existing patterns of similarity in other dimensions that correlate with obesity status? or

(3) because changes in the obesity status of a person's friends was exerting a (presumably behavioral) <u>influence</u> that affected their future obesity status?













#### Statistical modeling intuition

Model one's obesity status at time point t+1 as a function of

- their age, sex, and educational level;
- their obesity status at the previous time point (t); and
- their neighbors' obesity status at times t and t+1

#### Statistical modeling intuition

Model one's obesity status at time point t+1 as a function of

- their age, sex, and educational level;
- their obesity status at the previous time point (t); and
- their neighbors' obesity status at times t and t+1

#### Statistical modeling intuition

Model one's obesity status at time point t+1 as a function of

- their age, sex, and educational level; confounding factors (H2)
- their obesity status at the previous time point (t); and
- their neighbors' obesity status at times t and t+1

genetics plus intrinsic, stable predisposition to obesity (H2)

H1 – homophily (people choosing to form friendships with others of similar obesity status) H3 – influence (a neighbor's weight affected the person's weight)

#### **Causal diagram**



#### **Causal diagram**



#### **Causal diagram**



#### But, wait! It's a million dollar question for a reason

Detecting implausible social network effects in <u>acne, height, and headaches</u>: longitudinal analysis

BMJ 2008 ; 337 doi: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a2533 (Published 05 December 2008)

Longitudinal statistical analysis cannot always differentiate the effect of social influence from homophily-based selection.

Using the same longitudinal analysis, one might conclude that height is contagious!



### Summary

We've seen another fundamental property of networks: similarity between neighbors.

(Recall short paths connecting nodes and triangles formed by common neighbors)

One <u>extremely</u> powerful analysis technique: comparison to a random (shuffled) network.